

TO: <b>Mail Stop 8</b> <b>Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office</b> <b>P.O. Box 1450</b> <b>Alexandria, VA 22313-1450</b>	<b>REPORT ON THE</b> <b>FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN</b> <b>ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR</b> <b>TRADEMARK</b>
---	---

In Compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been filed in the U.S. District Court Western District of Texas, Austin Division on the following

☐ Trademarks or ☒ Patents. ( ☐ the patent action involves 35 U.S.C. § 292.):

DOCKET NO. 1:12-CV-00103 LY	DATE FILED 2/1/2012	U.S. DISTRICT COURT Western District of Texas, Austin Division
PLAINTIFF Andrew Katrinecz and David Byrd		DEFENDANT Everteck Computer Corporation
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK
1 see attached		
2 6,199,996		
3 7,284,872		
4		
5		

In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s)/ trademark(s) have been included:

DATE INCLUDED	INCLUDED BY	<input type="checkbox"/> Amendment <input type="checkbox"/> Answer <input type="checkbox"/> Cross Bill <input type="checkbox"/> Other Pleading
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		

In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued:

DECISION/JUDGEMENT  <div style="text-align: center; font-family: cursive; font-size: 1.2em;">Attached</div>
---

C 1 Jeannette Clack	(BY) DEPUTY CLERK <i>K. Wallace</i>	DATE SEP 12 2016
------------------------	--	---------------------

Copy 1—Upon initiation of action, mail this copy to Director    Copy 3—Upon termination of action, mail this copy to Director  
 Copy 2—Upon filing document adding patent(s), mail this copy to Director    Copy 4—Case file copy

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
AUSTIN DIVISION

**FILED**

OCT 30 2012

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
BY                      DEPUTY CLERK

ANDREW KATRINECZ AND  
DAVID BYRD,

PLAINTIFFS,

V.

EVERTEK COMPUTER  
CORPORATION,

DEFENDANT.

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

CIVIL NO. A-12-CA-0103-LY

**FINAL JUDGMENT**

Before the court in the above styled and numbered cause is Plaintiffs Andrew Katrinecz and David Byrd's Plaintiffs' Notice of Dismissal With Prejudice filed October 26, 2012 (Clerk's Document No. 6). Katrinecz and Byrd inform the court that they seek to dismiss the claims they allege against Evertek Computer Corporation with prejudice to refiling. Although served with process, Evertek Computer Corporation has yet to respond by filing an answer or a motion for summary judgment. Having considered Katrinecz and Byrd's notice, the case file, and the applicable law,

**IT IS ORDERED** that Plaintiffs Andrew Katrinecz and David Byrd's claims alleged against Defendant Evertek Computer Corporation are **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.

Further, as no disputes remain in this action for resolution, the court renders the following final judgment pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 58.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that this action is hereby **CLOSED**.

SIGNED this 30th day of October, 2012.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
LEE TEAKEL  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE